Sri V. S. PATIL.—So far as the expenditure of our officers outside the State is concerned, there is our Commercial Agent in England for making purchases. In his case, sanction is obtained here. It is specifically mentioned in the budget. So, why no sanction of this House was taken on this item?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I have already said that the Supplementary Estimates containing this item have already been sanctioned.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI.—[In Marathi.]

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—May I suggest to my friend Sir Samaji to put question in Kannada. He knows a bit of Kannada. It does not matter if it is broken Kannada. Putting questions in Marathi does not serve any purpose.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI (Marathi translated by Sri V. S. Patil).—(For what special purpose were these officers sent and for what purpose or reason the amount has been spent so far?)

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It has been specifically answered.

Sri N. O. SAMAJI.—Has the Government received any accounts about that?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—That also has been answered.

---

## Construction of Hassan-Mangalore Railway line.

*Q.—452. Sri S. SIVAPPA (Shravanabelagola).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) when the Hassan-Mangalore Railway line is expected to be taken up for construction;

(*b*) when it is expected to be completed?

*A.—Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Minister for Public Works).—

(*a*) After the completion of the final location survey.

(*b*) In three to four years after the work of construction is taken up.

Sri M. C. BASAPPA.—Sir, I rise on a point of order. Rule 36(2) (*j*) says that a question shall not relate to a matter which is not the concern of the Government. Qestion 452 relates to the Hassan-Mangalore Railway line. Whether to take up a railway line or not is not the concern of the State Government. It is a matter which rests entirely with a Government other than the Mysore Government Also, Rule 37 says that in matters which are or have been the subject of correspondence between the Government and the Government of India or the Government of any other State, no question shall be asked except as to matters of fact, and the answer shall be confined to a statement of fact. Here, we do not find any statement or matter relating to two Governments except that there is a guess that it will be taken up and finished after three or four years. Hence, the question cannot be asked on the floor of this House and it is outside the ambit of the Rules of Procedure governing this House.

9-30 A.M.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now there does not seem to be unanimous support to the point raised by the Hon'ble Member because I find members on this side are keen on putting supplementaries.

Sri M. C. BASAPPA.—I want a ruling and not support from the House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Now, the point has been raised, I wish he had looked into it deeper before raising the point. So far as the Central Government is concerned, Railways are their exclusive subject; budget is to be prepared by them, money is to be provided by them and money is to be spent by them. But that does not mean that the State Government has no interest at all to protect and should not take steps. I have heard many members urging in the course of the debate, requesting and even charging and criticising the Government for not taking a larger number of railway lines, that they are defaulting and not doing their duty. Was the Hon'ble Member not there to raise objection by saying that better purpose would be served if he felt that it was like that. I ask whether he was not present when so many members were pressing for inclusion for a larger number of lines, greater lengths, more

(MR. SPEAKER)

major ports which are in their exclusive jurisdiction ; I am saying this for the purpose of showing whether there is any fallacy in his reasoning.

Sri M. C. BASAPPA.—I cannot object to whatever they may say. I am drawing the attention of the Chair to the particular Rule. I want a ruling. I am drawing the attention of the Chair to the particular Rule and want to know whether this question comes within the particular Rule. I do not want whether any member made any reference during the debate and said something. My point is only to know whether this comes within the ambit of this Rule or not.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member must not get into such a narrow rut and say I want and I do not want. I wanted to point out the fallacy in your thinking that nothing relating to this can be discussed or interpellated. We cannot provide money for that in our budget; we cannot spend; we cannot find the money for it; but we can spend if the Central Government makes the State Government as its agent for carrying out the work. The Member has seen that several experiments have been done with regard to Mangalore Port, and initial expenditure has been directed to be incurred for Mangalore—Hassan Railway Lines and they are going to be ultimately borne by the Central Government. So, it is not a water-tight compartment. Anything other than finding money and providing for expenditure is as much the concern of the State also because it is going to benefit the locality and the State Government here is eager and desirous. Why do you impair the enthusiasm of the Government by saying it is not our concern, interpellations cannot be asked, arguments cannot be advanced and Schemes cannot be pressed. It is perfectly consistent. The question of finding money and spending is the Centre's exclusive subject and as to the rest of it we are all interested because it is going to benefit the State and the interests of the State and therefore it is the primary and predominating concern of the State. Therefore, I hold there is no point in the point of order which has been raised.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I draw the attention of the Chair to Rule 38 which says:

> "The Speaker shall decide whether a question or a part thereof is or is not admissible under these rules and may disallow any question or a part thereof when in his opinion it is an abuse of the right of questioning or calculated to obstruct or prejudicially affect the procedure of the Assembly or in contravention of these rules."

Mr. SPEAKER.—I support that.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—I want to know how long this question of final location survey is under consideration and when they are going to finalise the location survey ?

†Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—The Government of India have recently ordered for the final location survey and the unit has come.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—When does the Government expect this final location survey to be completed ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I cannot say that.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Is it not a fact that when the Mangalore Port has been taken for granted for construction, this Hassan-Mangalore Railway should be completed as early as posssible just to facilitate that harbour ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—It is true that this Hassan—Mangalore Railway Line Scheme is linked up with Mangalore Port Development Project. Naturally, the completion of this Railway Line will have to synchronise with the completion of the Port Project and the Government of India have assured that this line will be ready well in time for the operation of the port.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Is it not a fact that a survey was conducted some years back with reference to the same railway ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That survey is so old and that survey was conducted in 1918 or so. Times have changed and alignment is likely to change. So, that survey will not do.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಆರ್. ಕೇಶವಮೂರ್ತಿ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಲೋಕೋಪಯೋಗಿ ಶಾಖೆಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ವೆ ಲೋಕೇಷ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಭಾವ ಶಾಲಗಳ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿತ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ಒಳಗಾಗದೆ ದೇಶದ ಹಿತದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಒಂದು ಸರಿಯಾದ ಮಾರ್ಗವನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—Final Location Survey ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ದವರು ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅದು ನಾವು ಮಾಡುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಲ್ಲ.

**Sri A. KRISHNA SHETTY.**—Is the contemplated line metre gauge or broad gauge?

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—It is broad gauge.

**Sri M. C. BASAPPA.**—May I know for the construction of Railway line the extent of help given by the Government of Mysore in the form of money and technical personnel?

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—I do not think if they require any help from the State Government in this survey. If they require any help the State Government will be glad to extend it.

**Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY.**—Are the Government aware that in some Press not published in the daily papers of Bangalore, they have mentioned the location of stations in the Final Location suruey?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Why should the hon. Member bring in newspaper reports here? Ask them whether they know it officially.

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—That information is not before me now.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಬಿ. ಎಲ್. ಸುಬ್ಬಮ್ಮ.—ಕಡೂರ್ ಸಕಲೇಶಪುರ ರೈಲ್ವೆ ಲೈನ್ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಂದ ಸರ್ವೆ ಆಗಿದೆಯೇ?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—It does not arise.

**Sri H. D. DEVE GOWDA.**—Has it come to the notice of the Government that if Hassan—Mangalore Rai lwayline is extended to Bangalore via Channarayapatna and Kunigal, it will be more useful?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Survey work is going on. After that, we will be in a position to say how the alignment is and what places that Railway line is goning to touch?

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—The proposal is to construct a railway line from Hassan to Mangalore. There is already a railway line from Bangalore to Hassan.

**Sri H. R. KESHAVA MURTHY.**—There is an ore-carrying road from Mangalore to Hassan. Is it possible to extend the Line from Hassan to Banasandra?

**Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.**—It is a suggestion for action.

ಶ್ರೀ ಗಂಗಾಧರ ನಾಮೋಷಿ.—ಮಂಗಳೂರು ಒಂದು ಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಆಗುವುದರಿಂದ ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ರೈಲ್ವೆ ಲೈನನ್ನು ಬ್ರಾಡ್‌ಗೇಜ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ವಿನಂತಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು. ಏತಕ್ಕೆಂದರೆ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನಿಂದ ಐರನ್ ಓರ್ ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಹೋಗುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಮೀಟರ್‌ಗೇಜ್ ತೆಗೆದು ಬ್ರಾಡ್ ಗೇಜ್ ಮಾಡುವುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಉದ್ದೇಶ ಪೂರ್ಣ ವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಅದನ್ನು ಬ್ರಾಡ್ ಗೇಜ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಾವು ಅವರನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಲ್. ಗೌಡ.—ಬಹಳ ದೊಡ್ಡದಾದ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಾಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ಹಾಸನ ಮತ್ತು ಮಂಗಳೂರು ರೈಲ್ವೆ ಲೈನು ಆಗದೆ ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಭಾವ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಇರುವುದು ಕಾರಣವಲ್ಲವೇ?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—I do not want that question to be answered.

ಶ್ರೀ ವೈ. ಮಂಜಯ್ಯ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದುಗಾದೆ ಇದೆ. "ಮದುವೆ ಆದ ಹೊರತು ಹುಚ್ಚುಬಿಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಹುಚ್ಚುಬಿಡದ ಹೊರತು ಮದುವೆ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು", ಹಾಸನ ಮತ್ತು ಮಂಗಳೂರು ರೈಲ್ವೆ ಲೈನು ಆಗದ ಹೊರತು ಮಂಗಳೂರಿನ ಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಮಂಗಳೂರಿನ ಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಆಗದ ಹೊರತು ಹಾಸನ ಮತ್ತು ಮಂಗಳೂರು ರೈಲ್ವೆ ಲೈನು ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಹೀಗೆ ಇವೆರಡಕ್ಕೂ ಒಂದಕ್ಕೊಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧವಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಇವೆರಡನ್ನೂ ಬೇಗ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಒತ್ತಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಮಂಗಳೂರಿನ ಪೋರ್ಟಿಗೋಸ್ಕರವಾಗಿ ಮೂರನೇ ಪಂಚವಾರ್ಷಿಕ ಯೋಜನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹತ್ತು ಕೋಟಿ ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಇಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಯೂನಿಟ್ ಆಫೀಸರುಗಳನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ಕೆಲಸ ನಡೆದಿದೆ. ಸ್ಥಳವನ್ನು ಕೂಡ ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಆದಷ್ಟು ಜಾಗ್ರತೆ ಈ ಬಂದರಿನ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಅಷ್ಯೂರೆನ್ಸ್ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಹಾಸನ ಮತ್ತು ಮಂಗಳೂರಿನ ರೈಲ್ವೆ ಲೈನಿನ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಆದಷ್ಟು ಜಾಗ್ರತೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಹುಚ್ಚು ಬಿಡುತ್ತದೆ, ಮದುವೆಯೂ ಆಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎ. ಪಾಣಣ್ಣಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಮಂಗಳೂರಿನ ಬಂದರಿನ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಸರ್ವೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮುಗಿದು ಅಕ್ವಿಜಿಷನ್ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ದವರು ಸೂಚನೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ನಿಜವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಅಕ್ವಿಜಿಷನ್ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ನೋಟಿಫಿಕೇಷನ್ ಇಷ್ಯೂ ಆಗಿದೆ. ಆದಷ್ಟು ತೀವ್ರವಾಗಿ ಆದನ್ನು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ರಾಮೇಗೌಡ.—ಮದ್ದಾಸಿನಿಂದ ಮಂಗಳೂರುವರೆಗೆ ಒಂದೇ ವಿಧವಾದ ರೈಲ್ವೆ ಲೈನು, ಎಂದರೆ, ಬ್ರಾಡ್‌ಗೇಜ್ ಇರಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಮ್ಮ ರಾಜ್ಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರನ್ನು ಒತ್ತಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ? ಅದನ್ನು ಒತ್ತಾಯ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಒತ್ತಾಯ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಮತ್ತು ಅದನ್ನು ಬ್ರಾಡ್‌ಗೇಜ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದು ರೆಪ್ರೆಜೆಂಟೇಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Question hour is over.

---

## QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

**(but not taken up.)**

### Minor Irrigation Projects investigated in Arkalgud Taluk.

**Q.*—31. **Sri G. A THIMMAPPA GOWDA** (Arkalgud).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number and names of minor irrgation projects that have been investigated in Arkalgud Taluk, and how many of them are under progress (the costs and other details of the schemes may be furnished);

(*b*) the amount that is required for taking up the various minor irrigation projects in Arkalgud Taluk and the amount that has been provided for?

*A.*—**Sri ALUR HANUMANTHAPPA** (Deputy Minister for Minor Irrigation).—

(*a*) Number of Projects investigated ... 8

Number of works in progress ... 1

For other details *vide* statement appended.

| | Rs. |
|---|---|
| (*b*) Amount required... | 6,06,000 |
| Amount provided for 62-63. | 56,900 |

Statement showing the details of the Projects investigated in Arkalgud Taluk, Hassan District.

| Sl. No. | Name of the Project | Estimated Cost | Atchkat proposed | | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | 5 |
| | | Rs. | | A G | |
| 1 | Construction of a pick-up across Mosalehalla to feed Konanur tank in Arkalgud Taluk. | 1,95,000 | Fresh atchkat<br>Existing atchkat | 66-00<br>232-00 | Estimate sanctioned. |
| 2 | Taking a left bank channel from the existing Ane across Hirehalla, Konanur Hobli, Arkalgud Taluk. | 38,500 | | 67-00 | Estimate work under progress. |
| 3 | Taking a left bank channel from existing Sirangal ane near Kaduvinahosahally, Arkalgud Taluk. | 55,000 | | 160-00 | Estimate sanctioned. |